

Application No. 10/538,423  
Paper Dated: April 23, 2009  
In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of December 23, 2008  
Attorney Docket No. 4544-051674

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No. : 10/538,423 Confirmation No. 1726  
Applicants : ARUNENDRA MAJUMDER et al.  
Filed : January 30, 2006  
Title : A SALT TOLERANT L-MYO-INOSITOL 1-  
PHOSPHATE SYNTHASE AND THE PROCESS  
OF OBTAINING THE SAME  
Group Art Unit : 1652  
Examiner : Rebecca E. Prouty  
Customer No. : 28289

Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**SUPPLEMENTAL SEQUENCE AMENDMENT**

Sir or Madam:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.821 *et seq.*, Applicants submit the following  
Sequence Listing and corresponding computer readable form for insertion into the  
Specification.


**REMARKS**

Pursuant to the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.821-1.825, Applicants amend the Sequence Listing by submitting this Sequence Amendment together with substitute sheets for the Sequence Listing and a substitute sequence listing in computer readable form ("CRF"). Specifically, SEQ ID No. 1 has been amended so that: the nucleic acids at position 34-36 have been amended from "cgc" to "cac"; SEQ. ID. No. 3 has been amended so that the amino acid at position 42 has been amended from "Tyr" to "Trp"; the amino acid at position 69 has been amended from "Tyr" to "Trp"; the amino acid at position 346 has been amended from "Met" to "Thr"; and the amino acid at position 378 has been amended from "Leu" to "Pro". In view of these amendments to the Sequence Listing, the nucleic acid and amino acid sequences disclosed in the Specification, claims and drawings may be found in CRF in file "Amended Sequence List.txt" and in the "paper copy", which are filed with this Sequence Amendment.

Applicants hereby certify that the information recorded in attached CRF, filed as file "Amended Sequence List.txt", is identical to the "paper copy" of the Sequence Listing submitted together with this Sequence Amendment. The material presented in CRF and in the "paper copy" is not new matter because it presents sequences that are identical to those disclosed in the priority documents.

Applicants believe that the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.821-1.825 have been met.

Respectfully submitted,  
THE WEBB LAW FIRM

By:   
Thomas C. Wolski  
Registration No. 55,739  
Attorney for Applicants  
700 Koppers Building  
436 Seventh Avenue  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
Telephone: 412-471-8815  
Facsimile: 412-471-4094  
E-Mail: webblaw@webblaw.com